

Multiple banking relationships:

Do firms mistrust their bank? The case of privately held French SMEs

Séminaire LGCO, 25 septembre 2015

REFAIT ALEXANDRE Catherine, CRESE, University of Franche-Comté

SERVE Stéphanie, THEMA, University of Cergy-Pontoise

Introduction

- « **Small business uniqueness** » (Ang, 1991) and the French case
 - Privately held: owner=CEO and owners' characteristics matter in financial decisions (Ang, Rebel and Lawson 2010)
 - With a strong dependence to banks
 - In a context on financial crisis: credit rationing?
- ⇒ Focus on the banking relationships, and the use of **multiple banking** by SMEs

Introduction

- **Focus on the determinants of multiple banking**

⇒ Two analytical frameworks:

- Traditional: the impact of firms' characteristics
- More original: the impact of **the quality of banking relationship**, mainly assessed by distance and trust
 - Informal relationship matters (Ang, 1992)
 - New approach, from the point of view of the SME

⇒ Exploitation of a survey addressed to CEOs of French SMEs in December 2012

Literature review (1)

- **Framework 1: Multiple banking and firms' characteristics**
 - Single banking relationship incites the bank to collect information and monitor the firm (Fama, 1985; Haubrich, 1989, Greenbaum and Thakor, 1995; Thakor, 1996)
 - Opaque firms obtain more financing at better conditions
 - Single banking relationship gives an informational rent to the bank
 - Transparent firms will escape from the hold up problem by developing multiple banking relationships (Detragiache, Garella and Guiso, 2000; Berger, Klapper and Udell, 2001)
 - Consequences for firms' characteristics:
 - High performing firms: single banking (better monitoring) or multiple banking (to escape from the monopoly power of the main bank)
 - High risky firms: single banking (to increase the probability to get new financing) or multiple banking (to get better support in case of financial distress)
 - Bigger and older firms: multiple banking

Literature review (2)

- **Framework 1: Mixed empirical evidence**

- Inconvenients of multiple banking relationships:
 - Higher interest rates and higher credit constraint (Petersen and Rajan, 1995; Cole, 1998; Harhöff and Korting, 1998; De Bodt, Lobez and Statnik, 2005)
- Performance and risk:
 - Positive relationship between performance and the number of banks (Detragiache, Garella and Guiso, 2000) vs. Negative relationship (Castelli, Dwyer and Hasan, 2010; Degryse and Ongena, 2011)
 - Higher default risk for multiple banking firms (Foglia, Laviola and Reedtz, 2004) vs. Better support of banks in case of financial distress (Brunner and Krahnert, 2008)

⇒ Mixed results + A statement: multiple banking is widespread in continental Europe (90-95%)

⇒ Search for other determinants based on the quality of the banking relationship

Literature review (3)

- **Framework 2: Quality of the banking relationship and trust**
 - Banking marketing literature:
 - A “good” customer relationship is an important determinant of perception of service quality (Zeithalm, Berry and Parasuraman, 1988)
 - A “good” customer relationship is in the interest of banks (Ritter, 1993)
 - The role of trust: “one party has confidence in an exchange partner’s reliability and integrity” (Morgan and Hunt, 1994)
 - Empirical evidence regarding on-line relationship banking: characterization of trust by Mukherjee and Nath (2003)
 - Antecedents: beliefs in common, communication, opportunistic behaviour in presence of asymmetry of information
 - Consequence: desire to maintain a valuable relationship

Literature review (4)

- **Framework 2: Few empirical evidence**

- Harhoff and Körting (1998): concentrated borrowing and higher trust lead to better financing conditions for SMEs
- Lehman and Neuberger (2001) and Moro and Fink (2013): from the point of view of loan officers, the quality of banking relationship has an impact on the decision to finance SMEs

⇒ Our study: the determinants of the choice of multiple banking
from the point of view of the SME

Data and methodology (1)

- **The sample**

- 901 SMEs opened the e mail ⇒ 95 answered the questionnaire
- Financial data extracted from ALTARES (Dun and Bradstreet group)
- Excluding firms with missing financial data (13)
- Excluding firms that not fully completed the banking relationship part of the questionnaire (25)

⇒ Common sample of 58 SMEs

88% of the SMEs in the sample report multiple banking relationship
(dummy variable MULTIBQ=1)

“Small” SMEs: median workforce=31 ; median net sales=4,2M€;
median total assets=2,6M€, but rather old (31 years)

Data and methodology (2)

- **A family business issue?**

- 54 SMEs in the sample (out of 58) are family owned: the CEO is the founder of the business, or this is the second/third generation
- “Basic” definition for a privately held firm: a business owner
- Banking relationships implications: Daurizio, Oliviero and Roman (2015):
 - Family has a positive effect on the relationship between borrowers and lenders, when compared with non family firms....
 -due to the use of increased “soft information”

Data and methodology (3)

- **Firms' characteristics:**
 - Age and size
 - Profitability (return on equity)
 - Leverage = Debt ratio (debt / total assets)
 - Bankrupcty=1 if the firm is involved in an bankruptcy process
 - Loan_demand=1 if the firm applied for a long term loan since the crisis (since 2007)

Data and methodology (4)

- **Quality of the banking relationship:**
 - Distance between the manager and the loan officer
 - Antecedents of trust
 - Asymmetry of information (<0)
 - **TRANSP_BK1**=1 if the manager thinks that his main bank is transparent in explaining the loan granting process
 - **TRANSP_BK2**=1 if the manager thinks he has been informed about the pricing process set by his main bank
 - Communication (<0)
 - **APPROACHABILITY**=1 if the manager takes the initiative of the meeting with his loan officer; 0 if the loan officer takes the initiative
 - Consequence of trust: the desire to build a valuable relationship
 - “Do you systematically search for the lower interest rate when applying for a credit?": **RATE**=1 if the response is “no” (<0)

Preliminary results (1)

- **Framework 1: Impact of firms' characteristics**
 - Smaller (total assets) and younger firms (age) are more likely to engage in single banking relationship: life cycle of banking relationships
 - The most performing firms (ROE) are more likely to engage in multiple banking relationships
 - ⇒ During the crisis, French SMEs tried to protect themselves against the hold up problem
 - The presence of financial distress has a negative impact on the decision to engage in multiple banking relationships
 - Contrasting results for proxies of financing needs: loan demand (<0)/leverage (>0) ⇒ maturity matters

Preliminary results (2)

- **Framework 2: Impact of the quality of banking relationships**

- The distance between the manager and his loan officer has an impact on multiple banking
 - ⇒ When the loan officer is located in a business center, firms are more likely to engage in multiple banking relationships
- Transparency of the bank has a positive impact on the decision to engage in multiple banking relationships
 - ⇒ Double edge weapon for banks: tool to boost competition rather than trust component?
- Firms that want to build a valuable relationship are more likely to engage in single banking relationship
 - ⇒ Proxy RATE (<0): The manager does not consider only the interest rate when applying for a credit

Going further (1)

- The quality of banking relationship appears to have an impact on the choice of SMEs to engage in multiple banking
- Econometrics:
 - Treatment of missing data \Rightarrow imputation of incomplete multivariate data (Rubin 1987)
 - Treatment of reverse causality : reverse causality: past performance and default risk may impact multiple banking
- Extension: refine the analysis of multiple banking with the number of banks and the composition of the pool (see the strong role of the public French bank BPI)

Going further (2)

- The use of multiple banking from both the point of view of the CEOs and the banks
 - 10 interviews with loan officers from 7 French banks (target: 15)
 - 9 interviews with owner-managers of French SMEs (target: 15)
 - To be done: interviews of alternative financing structures: public bank BPI, Institut du mentorat entrepreneurial, réseau Entreprendre and France Active

⇒ **First results**